	Case 1:05-cv-00026 Document 76	Filed 05/22/2006 Page 1 of 3 FILE D Clerk District Court
1 2	CARLSMITH BALL LLP Carlsmith Building, Capitol Hill P.O. Box 5241	MAY 22 2006
3	Saipan, MP 96950-5241 Tel No. 670.322.3455	For The Northern Mariana Islands By
4	Attorneys for Defendant	(Deputy Cle::)
5	Maeda Pacific Corporation	
6		
7	I DUTED OT A TEC	DISTRICT COLIDT
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE	
9	NORTHERN MARIANA ISLANDS	
10	TOSHIHIRO TAKAHASHI,	CIVIL ACTION NO. CV 05-0026
11	Plaintiff,	
12	VS.	ORDER
13	MAEDA PACIFIC CORPORATION,	
14 15	Defendant.	
16		
17	Now on this 19th day of May, 2006 comes now for hearing the various motions now	
18	pending before the Court. Plaintiff appears by Victorino DLG Torres, his attorney. Defendant	
19	appears by John D. Osborn and Steven Carrara of Carlsmith Ball LLP, its attorneys. There are	
20	no other appearances.	
21	The Court being duly advised in the premises having reviewed the pending motions and	
22	heard argument and statements of counsel finds as follows:	
23	1. Motion For Order To Show Cause Re: Deposition of Keith W. Ada	
24	Deponent Keith W. Ada is ordered to appear at the office of Carlsmith Ball LLP at 10:30	
25	a.m., May 24, 2006 to answer such questions as may be asked of him regarding his relationship	
26	with Plaintiff and the pending litigation in CNMI Superior Court Civil Action No. 06-0038C.	
27	The deponent shall be entitled to have his coun	sel present.
28	C:\Documents and Settings\jdo\Local Settings\Temporary	
	Internet Files\OLK5\ORDER_5-19-06 Draft 1.doc	

In the event deponent refuses to answer questions asked of him, counsel for Defendant may bring such refusal to the Court's immediate attention without the necessity of filing another Motion For Order To Show Cause.

2. Motion *In Limine* to Exclude Testimony of <u>Dr. H. Christine Brown</u>

Plaintiff is directed to produce Dr. Brown on May 24, 2006 for purposes of being deposed by Defendant regarding her report rendered herein and her anticipated trial testimony as Plaintiff's designated medical expert.

3. Motion *In Limine* to Exclude Reference to Insurance During Trial Proceedings

The motion is granted conditioned on Defendant's acknowledgment that it was involved in the sidewalk construction work being done in the vicinity where Plaintiff alleges he sustained injury.

4. <u>Motion In Limine to Exclude Evidence of Medical Treatment, Medical Costs and Records in Japan and To exclude Evidence of Financial Loss</u>

The motion is granted. Plaintiff is precluded from introducing testimony and evidence of medical treatment, medical costs or medical records of treatment in Japan. ¹

Plaintiff is further precluded from introducing any testimony or evidence of any financial loss which Plaintiff claims he sustained as a result of the accident which is the subject of this lawsuit. Plaintiff at this time is not precluded from showing Plaintiff's expenditure of money for an "electromagnetic" treatment machine.

IT IS THEREFORE BY THE COURT CONSIDERED, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the findings of the Court set forth above hereby are the orders of the Court.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: May **22**, 2006.

ALEX R. MUNSON
Judge

¹ Both Parties disagree whether or not Dr. Brown, as an expert witness, is precluded from testifying to medical treatment that occurred in Japan to form the basis of her opinion.

VICTORINO DLG. TORRES Attorneys for Plaintin

Internet Files\OLK5\ORDER_5-19-06 Draft 1.doc